1	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney	
2	Nevada Bar Number 7709	
3	JEAN N. RIPLEY Assistant United States Attorney	
4	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
	Tel: 702.388.6336	
5	Jean.Ripley@usdoj.gov	
6	Attorneys for the United States	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:24-mj-00195-BNW
	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Extend Deadlines to
10	v.	Conduct Preliminary Hearing and File Indictment (Third Request)
11 12	JORGE RODRIGUEZ-MEDINA, and	
	JOSE MANUEL SANCHEZ-LOBO,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AC	GREED, by and between Jason M.
16	Frierson, United States Attorney, and Jean N. Ri	pley, Assistant United States Attorney,
17	counsel for the United States of America; Rene L	. Valladares, Federal Public Defender, and
18	LaRonda R. Martin, Assistant Federal Public De	fender, counsel for defendant Jose Manuel
19	Sanchez-Lobo; and Robert Draskovich, counsel f	For defendant Jorge Rodriguez-Medina,
20	that the preliminary hearing in the above-caption	ed case, currently scheduled for March 13,
21	2024, at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued until a time convenient to the Court, but no	
22	earlier than 30 days from the date of the filing of this stipulation.	
23		
24		

This request requires that the Court extend two deadlines: (1) that a preliminary hearing be conducted within 14 days of a detained defendant's initial appearance, *see* Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c); and (2) that an information or indictment be filed within 30 days of a defendant's arrest, *see* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 5.1(d) provides that "[w]ith the defendant's consent and upon a showing of good cause—taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases—a magistrate judge may extend the time limits [for preliminary hearings] one or more times." Here, the parties need additional time to consider whether the case can be resolved prior to indictment.
- 2. This continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow the parties an opportunity to examine the merits of this case and determine whether the case can be resolved prior to indictment.
  - 3. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance.
- 4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 5. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing

20 / / /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 | / / /

22 | / / /

23 || / / /

24 || / / /

1	the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C	
2	§ 3161(b), and considering the factors ur	nder 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).
3		
4	DATED this 7th day of May, 2024.	
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney
7 8 9 10	/s/ Laronda R. Martin LARONDA R. MARTIN Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for Defendant Sanchez-Lobo	/s/ Jean N. Ripley Jean N. Ripley Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for the United States
11	/s/ Robert Draskovich Robert Draskovich, Esq. Counsel for Defendant Rodriguez-Medina	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2:24-mj-00195-BNW

FINDINGS AND ORDER

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JORGE RODRIGUEZ-MEDINA, and

JOSE MANUEL SANCHEZ-LOBO,

Defendants.

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The parties desire to continue the preliminary hearing to facilitate preindictment resolution. The Court finds good cause to continue the hearing to allow the court additional time to decide whether to accept the plea.
- 2. Both counsel for the defendants and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
  - 3. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance.
- 4. The continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow the parties to reach a potential resolution before the government moves forward with further prosecution.
- 5. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

24

1	6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing	
2	the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C	
3	§ 3161(b), and considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).	
4	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the	
5	above-captioned matter currently scheduled for May 13, 2024, at 4:00 p.m. be vacated and	
6	continued toJune 17, 2024, at _2:00 p.m.	
7		
8	DATED this <u>10</u> day of <u>May</u> , 2024.	
9		
10	HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		